Eddy covariance measurements of energy and CO₂ fluxes over a boreal lake in southern Finland

<u>Ivan Mammarella</u>¹, Ü. Rannik¹, S. Haapanala¹, A. Nordbo¹, J. Pumpanen², A. Ojala³, T. Vesala¹

¹Department of Physics, University of Helsinki ²Department of Forest Ecology, University of Helsinki ³Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki

Background and Motivation

• Worldwide, lakes cover 3% of the Earth's continental surface; in Finland >>> 10 %. About 95% of lakes are less than 1 Km².

- The important role of inland fresh waters (lakes, rivers, ponds) in processing large amounts of organic carbon (Battin et al., 2009, *Nature Geoscience*).
- Lakes have different albedo, lower surface roughness and greater effective heat capacity than surrounding land areas. These properties affect regional climate and energy budgets (Dutra et al., 2010; Jeffries et al., 1999).
 - Only few studies have been published on EC flux measurements on fresh water ecosystems.
 - Long term and continuous flux measurements are needed in order to improve our understanding on:
 - short and long term variation of thermal structure and energy balance of such ecosystems.
 - gas exchange processes

UHEL EC flux measurement sites



Materials and methods



SMEAR II

Source: Maanmittauslaitos

Materials and methods



From J.Heskainen MSc thesis

Ancillary measurements:

Water T Profile: 0.2, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12. Water CO2 Profile: 0.2, 0.5, 1, 1.5 Water PAR. Radiation components. Tair, RH

Measurement Periods:

June 2009-Feb 2010 June 2010 – Jan 2011 May 2011 – Nov 2011

EC measurements:

1) June 2009 / Feb 2010 and Jun 2010/Dec 2010. Metek USA-1 (momentum and sensible heat fluxes) Licor 7000 (CO2 and H2O fluxes)

2) Jun 2011 / Nov 2011 Metek USA-1 (momentum and sensible heat fluxes) Licor 7200 (CO2 and H2O fluxes)

EC data post-processing by EddyUH <u>http://www.atm.helsinki.fi/~mammarel/Eddy_Covariance/Eddy</u> <u>UHsoftware.php</u>.

EC system performance



EC fluxes: systematic uncertainty



Spectral correction is up to 30% for H2O and 20% for CO2.

Environmental conditions



Environmental conditions



Wind direction at Kuivajärvi is dominantly along lake.

Environmental conditions

1 1 1 20 15 10 20 2 20 15 20 żö 4 Depth (m) 10 6 10 10 Themocline 8 depth 10 12 Jun Jul Sep Oct Nov Aug Dec

Water Temperature [C] year 2010



Next we consider only open water periods (e.g. June – October)

Lake vs Scots Pine forest Sept 2011



Red = Kuivajärvi, Green = SMEAR II

Bulk transfer relationships



Bulk transfer relationships



Bulk transfer relationships



WHY?

- -Buoyancy play relevant role. - Bias in CO2 concentration measurements.
- EC flux random uncertainty

Flux random uncertainty



Flux random uncertainty according to Finkelstein & Sims (2001), JGR

Water turbulent mixing and gas exchange

$$F = k(C_{aq} - \sigma C_{air})$$

k is the gas transfer velocity, which depends on molecular diffusivity of the gas and the MBL variation.



Fig. 7. Corrected k_{600} data plotted against wind speed at 10 m (U_{10}). The dashed line represents the Cole and Caraco (1998) relationship (CC98), the dotted line represents Borges et al. (2004) relationship (Bo04), and the long dashed line represents the Crusius and Wanninkhof (2003) relationship (CW03).



Fig. 8. Gas transfer velocities as a function of windspeed and the corresponding Beaufort Scale number: •, results from this work; \bigcirc , ocean radon averages (Broccker and Peng, 1974; Peng et al., 1979; Kromer and Roether, 1983); \square , global ocean bomb-¹⁴C mean (Broecker et al., 1985); solid lines, least squares fit to field data (this study); small dashed lines, recent SF₆ results for US lakes (Wanninkhof et al., 1987); large dashed line, extrapolation of the SF₆ results of Wanninkhof et al. (1985) using model equations (Liss and Merlivat, 1986). All data refer to CO₂ at 20°C with windspeeds normalized to 10 m.

Convective mixing : some equations!

We used theoretical framework similar to atmospheric mixed layer, but we apply it to water side (Imberger, 1985).

Convective velocity scale is

$$w_* = \left[Z(-\beta)\right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

Z is the mixed layer depth and beta is the buoyancy flux:

$$\beta = \frac{gH_{eff}\alpha}{C_p\rho_w}$$

α = 1.6e-5+9.6e-6*Tw is the thermal expansion of water
Cp = 4192 J/Kg/K is the specific heat capacity
pw is water density

The effective heat flux Heff is defined as

$$H_{eff} = H_{net} + q(0) + q(Z) - \frac{2}{Z} \int_{z}^{0} q(z) dz$$
 where

 $H_{net} = LW_{net} + H + LE$ is the net surface heat flux and

q is the short wave radiation

 $q(z) = q(0) \exp(-\gamma z)$

 γ is the light attenuation coeff, taken equal to 3.2 m-1

Beer's law is applied to PAR measurements to quantify the penetration of radiation in the water column.

Sign convection for Heff: it is negative when the lake is cooling, and positive when the lake is heating. Note that during night-time Heff = Hnet.



ML depth was estimated from temperature profile as the depth where the temperature deviates more than 0.2 Celsius degree from the surface temperature.

Example (15-25 Aug 2010)



Example (15-25 Aug 2010)



Gas transfer velocity versus wind speed



Near-future plans...

- EC measurements started again in June 2012 (data have been already post-processed).
- On-going EC CH4 flux measurement campaign (Aug 2012 Nov 2012).



- Turbulence measurement in the water by ADV/microstructure profiler (September 2012 and during next year).
- Compare EC flux with chamber flux.
- Systematic analysis of buoyancy driven mixing events.
- Analysis of energy balance and exchange processes during winter periods.
- Data are available for model validation and comparison studies.

