

Experiences in Calibration of EPS forecasts

Juha Kilpinen





Different sources of uncertainty

- Observations (special focus on wind speed observations)
- Uncertainty associated coarse grid
- Model deficiencies
- Calibration of uncertainty (EPS forecasts)





Experiences in Calibration of EPS forecasts

- Only ECMWF EPS and operational forecasts evaluated
- Parameters: 10m wind speed, 2m temperature and mslp
 - Mismatch between observations and forecasts due to low model resolution
 - Wind speed observations are problematic (orography, environment)
 - Only (the difficult ?) surface parameters considered ->
 - Need of calibration



Verification results of deterministic mslp forecasts

RMS Error ECMWF: •operative •control •eps mean •eps spread •clim (4 years) •mean of indiv. eps members





Comments for mslp forecasts

- nice behaviour in lead time
- Spread is realistic compared to error distribution of EPS mean -> EPS provides a useful and almost optimum probability forecasts for mslp (no real need for calibration)



Temperature forecasts

- Both OPERATIONAL and EPS forecasts suffer from biases (stable stratification)
- EPS forecasts are under dispersive
 - -> need of calibration

EPS wind speed forecasts

More detailed a examination







Wind speed forecasts

- Representation missmatch (grid)
- Height of the anemometer
- Stratification (stable conditions,)

Verification scores:

- Deterministic forecasts: ME, RMSE, (STDE)
- Probabilistic forecasts: ROC AREA, BRIER SKILL SCORE







Operative forecasts and observations

FMI has a correction system for wind speed observations

Reduction of wind speed due to anemometer height (neutral stratification)

Correction of wind speed due to obstacles around the site (direction dependent)

station 02987 (Kalbådagrund)



9



Operative forecasts and observations (02987)



Total correction as a function of direction



Correction coefficient of wind speed as function of direction (02981)





Next some verification results





"Corrected" observations





MEAN ERROR (ME) WINTER 2004 STATION: 02981 VALID: 12 UTC









"Corrected" observations



ROOT MEAN SQUARED ERROR (RMSE) WINTER 2004 STATION: 02981 VALID: 12 UTC





Conclusions 1:

- Corrected observations for station 02987 give better verification results than original
- But for station 02981 the situation is opposite

• What is the reason for that?



ILMATIETEEN LAITOS METEOROLOGISKA INSTITUTET FINNISH METEOROLOGICAL INSTITUTE

02981 UTÖ



UTÖ / September 04 Upper picture E-SE Lower picture SE-S sector





ILMATIETEEN LAITOS METEOROLOGISKA INSTITUTET FINNISH METEOROLOGICAL INSTITUTE



New radar mast south to wind mast. Antennas remain partly under anemometers.







Conclusions 2:

• What is the reason for that?



- The correction was update in 2002
- After summer 2004 a new mast was built to southern sector very near to anemometer and no update for correction has made

Anemometers

->corrections should be updated



Verification of probability forecasts

- Brier Skill Score (BSS)
- ROC (Relative Operating Characteristic) curve
- ROC Area (Area under ROC curve)



20



Calibration of EPS forecasts

- Production of probability forecast from deterministic input (as reference)
 - Different versions of error dressing method
 - Kalman filtering (results nor shown)
- Different methods for calibration of EPS wind speed forecasts

NEXT SOME RESULTS (BBS, ROC Area)



Uncorrected observations



BRIER SKILL SCORE (BSS) P(WS > 14 m/s) WINTER 2004 STATION: 02981 VALID: 12 UTC



Corrected observations



BRIER SKILL SCORE (BSS) P(WS > 14 m/s) WINTER 2004 STATION: 02981 VALID: 12 UTC



Uncorrected observation





Corrected observation



BRIER SKILL SCORE (BSS) P(WS > 14 m/s) WINTER 2004 STATION: 02987 VALID: 12 UTC





Uncorrected observations





Corrected observation



ROC AREA P(WS > 14 m/s) WINTER 2004 STATION: 02981 VALID: 12 UTC



Uncorrected observation





Corrected observation



ROC AREA P(WS > 14 m/s) WINTER 2004 STATION: 02987 VALID: 12 UTC



Original observations



"Corrected" observations



ROC AREA P(WS > 14 m/s) WINTER 2004_cor STATION: 5 VALID: 12 UTC



ROC Area

BBS





Conclusions 2:

- Verification of probability forecasts give similar results as deterministic verification
- Calibration of EPS forecasts increases the general skill of EPS wind forecasts
- Error dressing of deterministic forecasts better than calibrated EPS before day 5. After 5 day calibrated EPS is better.



ILMATIETEEN LAITOS METEOROLOGISKA INSTITUTET FINNISH METEOROLOGICAL INSTITUTE

Thank you



Observation noise



Fri Apr 21 07 45 20 2006



Series Plot (observations every 10 min)



Mean of 12 observations around 12 UTC: comparison with 12 UTC observation



Series Plot (observations every 10 min)









| | OBS 12 | Mean | STD1 | STD2 |
|---|----------|----------|--------|--------|
| N of cases | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 |
| Minimum | 1.600 | 1.425 | 0.002 | -1.825 |
| Maximum | 17.000 | 17.067 | 0.777 | 2.008 |
| Range | 15.400 | 15.642 | 0.775 | 3.833 |
| Sum | 1177.000 | 1173.667 | 28.367 | 3.333 |
| Median | 7.300 | 7.158 | 0.149 | 0.017 |
| Mean (std within sample) | 7.795 | 7.773 | 0.188 | 0.022 |
| 95% CI Upper | 8.414 | 8.394 | 0.214 | 0.093 |
| 95% CI Lower | 7.176 | 7.151 | 0.161 | -0.049 |
| Std. Error | 0.313 | 0.315 | 0.013 | 0.036 |
| Standard Dev (between 12 UTC obs and mean obs) | 3.849 | 3.865 | 0.165 | 0.441 |
| Variance | 14.814 | 14.940 | 0.027 | 0.195 |
| C.V. | 0.494 | 0.497 | 0.876 | 19.986 |
| Skewness(G1) | 0.498 | 0.494 | 1.314 | 0.247 |
| SE Skewness | 0.197 | 0.197 | 0.197 | 0.197 |
| Kurtosis(G2) | -0.642 | -0.732 | 1.611 | 3.927 |
| SE Kurtosis | 0.392 | 0.392 | 0.392 | 0.392 |
| SW Statistic | 0.956 | 0.951 | 0.877 | 0.950 |
| SW P-Value | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |





This part of observation noise is fairly small



Observation noise: std1 and std2

