Comparison of HIRLAM and QNSE turbulence closures for Stratified Atmospheric Boundary Layer



Russian State Hydrometeorological university Semion Sukoriansky BGU Veniamin Perov SMHI



<u>Outline</u>

- Background
- HIRLAM and QNSE closure
- QNSE closure for 1D-model
- Results and comparisons
- Conclusions





Atmospheric Boundary Layer

- The transition layer within which surface-air interaction takes place
- Physical processes in ABL determine the surface fluxes of the momentum, temperature and humidity

Stable Boundary Layer

- Inversions of temperature
- Damped vertical heat fluxes
- Could be very shallow, down to 10 meters or less
- Can maintain well developed turbulence
- Effect of the negative buoyancy
 - Suppresses vertical heat fluxes
 - Increases wind velocity gradient, resulting in mixing adjacent flows
- Least friendly to mathematical modeling
- Not possible to treat within analytical theories





HIRLAM turbulence closure

• TKE-I scheme

- The turbulence closure
 - based on formulation of $K_{m,h}$ coefficients via $l_{m,h}$ and E
 - Derived with assumption of near-neutral conditions and isotropic turbulence
 - SBL is presented in form of the dependence on *E* and buoyancy flux
 - The integral form for ABL is averaging between SBL conditions and near-neutral conditions

• Nordic Temperature Problem of HIRLAM

- Large errors in prediction of T2m in
 - Nordic regions
 - Statically stable Boundary layer



- Nordic Temperature Problem
 - T_{2m} BIAS distribution







• Nordic Temperature Problem

T_{2m} time series : forecast / measurements (Sodankyla)









QNSE turbulence closure

- Mapping of the velocity field onto quasi-Gaussian field whose modes are governed by the Langevin equation
- $K_{m,h}$ formulations are added by the dependence on stability function of Fourier space wavenumber and frequency

Modified 1D HIRLAM closure for SBL

- $l_{m,h}$ formulation is made with assumption of SBL conditions
- $-K_{m,h}$ are taken with QNSE stability functions
- The modified closure scheme has been developed and tested in 1D HIRLAM
- Experiments
 - 1D HIRLAM system 6.3.4
 - Sodankyla region
 - Very Stable Boundary Layer condition





Reference and QNSE closure

HIRLAM turbulence closure TKE-I scheme $\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = K_M \left| \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right| - K_H \frac{g}{\theta_V} \frac{\partial \theta_V}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} K_M \frac{\partial E}{\partial z} - \varepsilon$

$$\varepsilon = c_d \frac{E^{3/2}}{l_{m,h}}; \qquad K_{M,H} = l_{m,h} \sqrt{E}$$

The turbulent length scale combines the length scales for near-neutral condition and for stable condition

QNSE theory for TKE-I scheme gives

$$K_{M,H} = \alpha_{m,h} l \sqrt{E}$$



 $\alpha_{m,h} = \frac{K_{M,H}}{K_{M neutr}}$ - non-dimensional stability functions for viscosity and diffusivity resulted from QNSE theory





Results from the spectral models theory

The turbulent coefficients in terms of gradient Richardson number $Ri = N^2/S^2$ or Froude number $Fr = \varepsilon/NE$



•Normalized turbulent exchange coefficients

•For Ri > 0.1, both vertical viscosity and diffusivity decrease, with the diffusivity decreasing faster than the viscosity

Horizontal mixing increases with increasing *Ri*. The model accounts for flow anisotropy
The crossover from neutral to stratified flow regime is replicated





QNSE closure for 1D-model

Eddy viscosity and diffusivity formulation in the modified CBR scheme

 $K_{M,H} = S_{m,h} l \sqrt{E}$ instead of $K_{M,H} = \alpha_{m,h} l \sqrt{E}$

$$S_{m,h} \sim G(Fr^{-2})$$
 - are stability functions of Fr , $Fr = \frac{\varepsilon}{NE}$

Comparisons of stability functions calculated from the spectral theory and from QNSE







Sodankyla data utilization in 1d-model experiments

- Location: Northern Finland 67.22°N, 26.38 °E Nordic region
- Occurrence of (very) stable boundary layer
 - Cold conditions
 - Temperature inversions
- HIRLAM large T2m errors
- Data:
 - Soil measurements
 - Mast measurements
 - Soundings (interpolation to model levels)





Case of Very Stable Boundary Layer in Sodankyla

22-24 November 2004

Temperature at model levels



Russian State

university



Results and comparison



Results and comparison



Results and comparison



Russian State Hydrometeorological university



Results and comparison (no wind)



Å

Results and comparison (no wind)



Temperature: 12 hour forecast with $\rm T_{S}$ taken from observation / obs





Russian State Hydrometeorological university



Wind velocity: 12 hour forecast with $\rm T_S$ taken from observation / obs









Conclusions

- The modified TKE-I (QNSE-based) scheme brings the near surface parameters closer to the observations
- The new model better accounts for effects of stable stratification
- Nordic Temperature Problem
 - Large temperature errors are not related to turbulence scheme rather to
 - Surface-air interaction (heat exchange) processes
 - Moist processes
 - Long wave radiation
- Upcoming investigations:
 - Implementation of QNSE scheme in 3D non-hydrostatic models
 - More comparisons are needed for combined stable-unstable conditions
 - Tests for strato-cumulus clouds within ABLs





Thank you for your attention



Veniamin Perov SMHI





Russian State Hydrometeorological university