

March 19, 2009



1

**Delft University of Technology** 

#### Radiation balance of the atmosphere





# How to tackle this problem?

Observations to understand the processes Improve the atmosphere models Observations to monitor long term trends





**T**UDelft

# Case 1 Observation of water clouds

March 19, 2009





**T**UDelft

6

#### Assume a cloud model,



Cloud base

7

$$LWC(z) = \rho_a A_{ad} (1-D)z \qquad LWP = \frac{1}{2} \rho_a A_{ad} (1-D)H^2$$

**T**UDelft

#### estimate expected observations,





#### make the observations,





#### ..estimate cloud microphysical parameters

#### **Droplet concentration**

#### Profile effective radius



#### March 19, 2009



7.5

6.5

#### ...and the radiative properties

#### Extinction

#### **Optical thickness**



March 19, 2009



## **Problem solved?**

- Limited representativeness (only water clouds)
- One dimensional solution, while the problem is three-dimensional
- Integrated observations of the context to unravel all concurrent processes
- Cloud lifetime due to aerosol changes: drizzle formation



#### **International context**



March 19, 2009



# Challenges

- Increase representativeness of 'one-site' observations
- Use satellite observations to retrieve vertical cloud properties anywhere



#### Retrieval procedure





#### **Optical thickness and effective radius**



March 19, 2009



#### Retrieved concentration compared to CCN at Cape grim



March 19, 2009



#### Retrieved cloud thickness and albedo





#### **Correlation studies**





#### It can also be done with Meteosat SG!

- SEVIRI imager onboard Meteosat-8
- Geostationary satellite: 0° N, 3.4° W at 35600 K
- 12 Spectral bands:
  - 4 visible and near infra-red (0.6 3.9
  - 8 thermal infra-red  $(3.9 12 \mu m)$
- Time resolution: every 15 minutes
- Spatial resolution sub-satellite: 3x3 Km at CESAR: 3x6 Km
- Pixel shape: diamond, sampled: square
- Products: Optical Thickness, Effective Radius, Liquid Water
  Path, Geometrical Thickness, Channels: 1, 4, 9

March 19, 2009





#### Main differences Modis – Meteosat SG

Geostationary Higher time resolution Lower space resolution

# 1) In Europe ground-truthing possible2) Anchor stations for synergy



#### Ground-truthing the cloud thickness



March 19, 2009



#### A passive sensor can give the cloud thickness at the radar footprint





#### Scattering by random media: Radar and stratocumulus

March 19, 2009









### **Standard theory vs observations**





#### Hypothesis: a cloud is a quasicontinuous medium at radar

 $\uparrow$  ~ inner scale of turbulence

Not the individual drops scatter rada waves, but clusters of them -

many droplets per wavelength

wavelengths

00

000

0,8

' 0<sub>0</sub>8

 $\circ$ 

· 080

with spatial scales of the order of, or smaller than the radar wavelength

 $\circ$ 

**~**~



### **Preliminary results of model**





# Challenges

- New theory of radar scattering by realistic quasi-continuous media
- and the development of a corresponding method to observe small-scale atmospheric dynamics and cloud microphysics







- Satellite ground synergy (TU Delft, ETH Zurich)
- Testing radar scattering theory (U Cologne, U Helsinki, TU Delft)





- Discussion STSM's
- Identification of tasks for cooperation (studies, ideas for campaigns (with WG3))



