Using Multi-Instrument Retrievals for the Cloudy Atmosphere

- Motivation and Challenges
- A Concept for Integrated Retrievals
- Examples
- Ideas and Questions

Ulrich Löhnert, University of Cologne

with contributions from: Working group for integrated remote sensing (University of Cologne), H. Russchenberg et al. (TU-Delft), Boers et al. (KNMI), D. Turner (SSEC, University of Wisconsin, Madison)



Ground-Based Remote Sensors are sensitive to ...

wind direction and speed Wind-profilers, Weather-radar Doppler-Lidar



temperature distribution MWR, FTIR, RASS, Raman-Lidar



humidty distribution *Lidar, FTIR, MWR, GPS*



liquid water MWR, Cloud radar, (FT)IR ice water Lidar, Cloud radar, (FT)IR precipitation Weather-radar, MWR



Motivation for Multi-Instrument Retrievals

single instrument deficits:

- resolution
- simultaneous sensitivity
- to other parameters
- ambiguous solution

Idea: Find instruments that capture the desired parameter in a complementary way → different spectral regions

- \rightarrow active/passive methods
- → scanning configurations

Challenges for operational applications:

- How to develop physically consistent algorithms??
- Find and develop algorithms that are robust and easy to apply!!
- Are the results significantly better to justify the extra effort / 19,

What about profiling of clouds?



LWC from Radar





Integrated Profiling Technique (IPT) a 1DVar approach towards multi-instrument retrieval



RACMO Simulation: LWC accuracies



March 19

2009

RACMO Simulation: Temperature Accuracies

Synergy: MWR+a priori information



March 19



Dealing with low-LWP clouds ...



Microwave and Infrared, clear sky



winter: cold and dry summer: hot and humid

Microwave and Infrared, cloudy sky



March 19



Hemispheric Distribution of WV and Cloud



- Continuous hemispheric scans of microwave profiler, IR-radiometer
- Can provide spatio-temporal BLevolution
- Are such observations of additional value for meso-scale model evaluation or assimilation?



Ideas and Questions for our Action

many other promising instrument combinations

- \rightarrow Radar + Lidar (e.g. Univ. of Reading)
- → MWR + Lidar (e.g. Univ. of Bern, IfT Leipzig)
- \rightarrow IR + Lidar (??)

Where do we need/want to go?

→ Identify combinations which are easy to handle & robust, bring forth straight-forward results and are relatively "cheap" → Network-suitability (WG1 & 4)

Ceilometer, GPS, IRT, MWR combinations ...

→ Develop methods for dedicated "anchor stations" for a most complete picture of the atmospheric profile + errors (WG3 & 4) Lidar, FTIR, cloud radar, wind profiler combinations ...

• What are some of the pending problems?

- \rightarrow calibration & instrumental error issues (WG1)
- \rightarrow absorption model uncertainties!!! (which WG??)
- \rightarrow radar discrepancy (WG4)



Absorption model uncertainties ...



6 MONORTM simulations for 2 climatologies, only WV and O_2 considered, 2 overlapping pairs with similar water vapor amount

Rosenkranz '98 - MONORTM

Liebe and Layton '87 - MONORTM

March 19

Liebe '93 - MONORTM