RADIATION SCHEME OF HIRLAM

Laura Rontu Finnish Meteorological Institute



laura.rontu@fmi.fi

March 1, 1999

CONTENTS:

Importance

- Radiation balance of the Earth-Atmosphere system
- Two-metre temperature of HIRLAM

Equations and methods

- Definitions
- Equation of radiative transfer
- Integrations
- Problems, simplifications and accuracy

Radiation scheme of HIRLAM

- Method
- Solar radiation
- Terrestial radiation

Validation

- How to validate?
- Some results

Conclusions



Figure 1: Radiation balance of the Earth-Atmosphere system





Figure 2: Variables calculated by the radiation scheme

DEFINITIONS:

Flux of radiative energy, F_{rad} [Wm⁻²]

$$F_{rad} = \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{A}\mathrm{d}\mathrm{t}},\tag{1}$$

where A is area and t time

Irradiance, I_{rad} [Wm⁻²sr⁻¹] = flux of radiative energy in a defined direction

$$I_{rad} = \frac{\mathrm{dF}_{\mathrm{rad}}}{\mathrm{d}\Omega\mathrm{cos}\theta},\tag{2}$$

where Ω is the space angle and θ the angle between the normal of the surface and incoming/outgoing radiation Flux of radiative energy and irradiance for a wave number ν :

$$F_{\nu} = \frac{\mathrm{d}F_{\mathrm{rad}}}{\mathrm{d}\nu} \tag{3}$$
$$I_{\nu} = \frac{\mathrm{d}I_{\mathrm{rad}}}{\mathrm{d}\nu} \tag{4}$$

where ν [m⁻¹] is the wave number, $\nu = 1/\lambda$.

EQUATION OF RADIATIVE TRANSFER:

Now the **equation of radiative transfer**, with a plane-parallel assumption, can be written as

$$\cos\theta \frac{\mathrm{dI}_{\nu}(\mathbf{z};\theta,\phi)}{\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{e}\nu}(\mathbf{z})\rho(\mathbf{z})\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}} = -I_{\nu}(\mathbf{z};\theta,\phi) + J_{\nu}(\mathbf{z};\theta,\phi)$$
(5)

where z is the height of observation, θ and ϕ are the zenith and atsimuth angles of the ray I_{ν} and ρ is the air density. The mass extinction coefficient $k_{e\nu} = k_{a\nu} + k_{s\nu}$ is the sum of mass absorption and mass scattering coefficients. On the RHS of the equation there are

• the sinks $-I_{\nu}(z; \theta, \phi)$

= radiation absorbed and scattered by gases, hydrometeors and aerosol particles

• sources $+J_{\nu}(z;\theta,\phi) \sim k_{s\nu}/k_{e\nu}, P_{\nu}, I_{\nu}, B_{\nu}(T(z)) \dots$ = radiation emitted and scattered from other directions by gases, hydrometeors and aerosol particles

influencing transfer of radiation in the direction θ , ϕ .

If scattering is important, the direct solution of Eq. 5 is not possible. If scattering is not so important, we could try to go through the cycle of four integrations:

INTEGRATIONS: Integrate over

- 1. Optical depth τ_{ν}^{a} to get $I_{\nu} \uparrow (\tau_{\nu}; \theta, \phi)$ $I_{\nu} \downarrow (\tau_{\nu}; \theta, \phi)$
- 2. Angles θ and ϕ to get $F_{\nu} \uparrow (\tau_{\nu})$ $F_{\nu} \downarrow (\tau_{\nu})$

3. Wave number ν to get upward and downward fluxes ^aOptical depth for a wave number ν , $\tau_{\nu} = \int_{z}^{\infty} k_{e\nu}(z')\rho(z')dz'$ $F\uparrow(z)\\F\downarrow(z)$

4. Vertically over z to get the net flux radiative flux and heating at any level $F(z)=F\uparrow(z)-F\downarrow(z)$

$$\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}\right)_{rad} = -\frac{1}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial z} = \frac{g}{c_p} \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial p}$$

PROBLEMS AND SIMPLIFICATIONS:

Huge number of computations - especially in integrating over the wave number (LBL = line-by-line integrations)



Figure 3: Solar and terrestial radiation

Detailed information about the Earth-Atmosphere system needed

- temperature distribution T(z)
- extinction and scattering coefficients $k_{e\nu}$, $k_{s\nu}$ depending of distribution and properties of atmospheric gases, cloud and aerosol particles
- direction of scattering P_{ν}
- boundary conditions: solar radiation flux; albedo, emissivity and temperature of the surface

 \Rightarrow SIMPLIFICATIONS for NWP models, based on detailed radiative transfer models

Factors limiting the accuracy of radiation calculations in NWP models:

- 1. Information about atmosphere not complete in the model: errors, missing variables and details
- 2. Optical properties of the atmospheric components not fully known
- 3. Simplifications due to limited computer resources

RADIATION SCHEME OF HIRLAM:

FAST AND SIMPLE!

Accurate enough for short-range weather prediction

- 1. Two spectral regions: solar and terrestial radiation
- 2. Weighted contributions of clear air and clouds to absorption, transmission and emission of radiation
- 3. Simplified vertical integrations

Resulting:

- Radiation flux \mathbf{F}_{rad} at the surface and at the top-of-atmosphere level
- Radiative heating $\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}\right)_{rad}$ at all model levels



Figure 4: Parametrization of solar radiation

Cloud short-wawe transmissivity and absorptivity functions

- fits to detailed multiple scattering scheme calculations for stratus-type clouds
- depend on the solar zenith angle θ and the modified cloud condensate amount \hat{M} (in g m⁻²) ^a

Absorptivity

$$\hat{A} = b_{10}(b_{11} + \cos\theta) \log(1 + b_{12}\hat{M})$$
(6)

with the parameters $b_{10} = 0.013$, $b_{11} = 1$, and $b_{12} = 0.1 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$.

Transmissivity

$$\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 / \left(\hat{T}_1 + \hat{M} \right) \tag{7}$$

$${}^{\mathbf{a}}\hat{M}(z) = C_{max}^{-1} \int_{z}^{\text{TOA}} CCC(z')C(z') \, dz'$$

where

$$\hat{T}_1 = b_{13}(b_{14} + \cos\theta) \tag{8}$$

with the parameters $b_{13} = 40 \text{ gm}^{-2}$ and $b_{14} = 0.5$.

Calculated:

- Clear-air solar heating due to water vapour absorption.
- In and below clouds clear-air values reduced by the cloud transmittance \hat{T} .
- Extra heating due to cloud drop absorption, represented by $\partial \hat{A}/\partial p$.
- All clouds are considered to consist of liquid water droplets.

TERRESTIAL RADIATION:

Needed: Temperature Emissivity $= \begin{cases} at all levels at surface \end{cases}$

Broad-band emissivity scheme

water vapour line spectrum	\sim vapour path
minor contributions	constants
clouds	effective cloud cover

Water vapour line spectrum

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Emissivity} \sim \mbox{water vapour path} \\ \sim \mbox{vertically integrated specific humidity } q \end{array} \\ \end{array} \label{eq:eq:entropy}$

Emission from level z to space+Exchange of radiation with the surface+Exchange of radiation between all layers- \Rightarrow

Exchange of radiation between cloud layers

+

No integrations between all model levels (calculations \sim n instead of \sim n²)

Long-wave radiation and clouds:

Cloud emissivity $\epsilon_c \sim 1 - \exp[-\alpha(z) * CCC]$

Fractional cloud cover C

 \Rightarrow Effective cloud cover $C_{eff} = \epsilon_c * C$

Dependency on height of the mass absorption coefficient $\alpha(z)$ \rightarrow smaller values for ice clouds.



Figure 5: Long-wave calcluations in a grid box

Minor contributions

Effect of CO_2, O_3 , water vapour continuum included as constant terms

Long-wave radiative flux at surface

- Flux from all atmospheric layers
- Flux from maximum effective cloud layer

HOW TO VALIDATE A RADIATION SCHEME?

Possibilities and problems

- standard verification
- comparison with line-by-line calculations
- model intercomparisons (ICRCCM)
- comparison with special observations
- fluxes at the top of the atmosphere from satellite data
- surface radiation fluxes + aerological sounding data
 clear sky: quite possible (but point value/grid average
 e.g. albedo in winter forest)

cloudy sky: where to take the cloud water
(adiabatic/diagnostic/model integration)

Influence of radiation parametrizations in forecast

- one-dimensional model runs
- three-dimensional experiments and parallel runs

Some results

- comparison with line-by-line calculations
- three-dimensional experiments and parallel runs
- fluxes at the top of the atmosphere from satellite data
- standard verification

Radiative heating: mid-latitude summer, clear sky, zenith angle 30 deg



Figure 6: IRCCM comparison with line-by-line calculations [Sass et al.(1994)]



Figure 7: Old and present HIRLAM radiation scheme compared in a climate mode run[Sass et al.(1994)]



Figure 8: Net radiation at TOA, Dec86-Jan87 [Fortelius and Siljamo(1996)].



CONCLUSIONS

Fast and simple, physically based radiation scheme gives results sufficiently accurate for a short-range limited area model.

The main result of a radiation scheme: surface radiation fluxes \rightarrow surface energy balance \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{2m} .

Largest variations in radiation fluxes are due to changes in cloudiness \rightarrow importance of consistent cloud and radiation parametrizations.

References

- [Sass et al.(1994)] Sass, B. H., L. Rontu and P. Räisänen, 1994: HIRLAM-2 Radiation Scheme: Documentation and Tests. Technical Report No. 16, The HIRLAM 3 Project, c/o SMHI, S-60176 Norrköping, Sweden.
- [Fortelius and Siljamo(1996)] Fortelius, C. and N. Siljamo, 1996: Validation of the TOA net radiation in the Swedish meso-HIRLAM reanalysis experiment using ERBE data. HIRLAM Workshop on Physical Parametrizations, Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, pp. 142–144. Available from FMI, P.O. Box 503, 00101 Helsinki, Finland.
- [Räisänen(1993)] Räisänen, P., 1993: Säteilyn esittäminen numeerisissa ilmakehämalleissa Pro gradu-tutkielma, Helsingin yliopiston meteorologian laitos
- [Savijärvi(1990)] Savijärvi, H., 1990: Fast radiation parameterization schemes for mesoscale and short-range forecast models. J. Appl. Meteor., 29, 437–447.
- [Wyser et al(1999)] Wyser, K., L. Rontu and H. Savijärvi, 1999: Introducing the effective radius into a fast radiation scheme of a mesoscale model. Accepted for publication in Contr. Atm. Phys.

Short summary of the HIRLAM radiation scheme written by Hannu Savijärvi (from Wyser et al. (1999))

Radiation scheme

The HIRLAM radiation scheme is documented in Sass et al. (1994). It is based on Savijärvi (1990); only a brief overview is given here. The scheme was designed to be fast, so only one vertical loop is allowed in both the solar (short-wave, SW) and the thermal (long-wave, LW) part. The SW clear-air global flux is obtained by reducing the topof-the-atmosphere (TOA) horizontal flux by broadband average ozone absorption (350 DU), water vapour absorption (depending on the scaled precipitable water content u), and Rayleigh scattering in the column. Average aerosol, CO₂, and O₂ effects are also included. In cloudy air the SW flux is reduced by the total cloud transmissivity \hat{T} , also taking into account multiple reflections between cloudbase and the surface. In a partly cloudy column the clear-sky and cloudy sky results are linearly combined.

The cloud SW transmissivity and absorptivity functions are fits to detailed multiple scattering scheme calculations (Slingo (1989), Stephens (1978), Liou and Wittman (1979), Ramaswamy and Freidenreich (1992)), for stratus-type clouds with an effective drop radius of about 10 μ m. The functions depend on the solar zenith angle θ and the modified cloud condensate amount \hat{M} (in g m⁻²), which is the vertical integral above the level under consideration of *CCC* multiplied by the relation of the cloud cover *C* to the maximum cloud cover of the whole column C_{max} ,

$$\hat{M}(z) = C_{max}^{-1} \int_{z}^{\text{TOA}} CCC(z')C(z') \, dz'.$$
(1)

The absorptivity is

$$A = b_{10}(b_{11} + \cos\theta)\log(1 + b_{12}M)$$
(2)

with the parameters $b_{10} = 0.013$, $b_{11} = 1$, and $b_{12} = 0.1 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. The transmissivity is given by

$$\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 / \left(\hat{T}_1 + \hat{M} \right) \tag{3}$$

where

$$\hat{T}_1 = b_{13}(b_{14} + \cos\theta) \tag{4}$$

with the parameters $b_{13} = 40 \text{ gm}^{-2}$ and $b_{14} = 0.5$.

Clear-air solar heating due to water vapour absorption, a(u), is obtained by vertical flux convergence, fitting $\partial a/\partial u$ from the line-by-line a(u) curves of Chou (1986). In and below clouds the clear-air values are reduced by the cloud transmittance \hat{T} . In clouds there is also extra heating due to cloud drop absorption, represented by the flux convergence of the absorptivity, $\partial \hat{A}/\partial p$.

Ice clouds are not treated separately in the SW scheme. All clouds are considered to consist of liquid water droplets.

The LW part uses a broadband emissivity scheme in a local isothermal approximation. The water vapour line emissivity is a cubic function of log u; continuum, CO₂, and O₃ effects are added as extra terms. There can be clouds both above and below the layer in question. Cloud effective emissivity is $C(1-\exp(-k_am))$, where C is the fractional cloud cover, $m = CCC\Delta z$ is the cloud condensate amount (in gm⁻²) of the layer with a thickness Δz , and the cloud mass absorption coefficient k_a decreases linearly with the vertical hybrid η coordinate, so as to give smaller values for ice clouds as is observed. Near the surface k_a is $0.20 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, and near the tropopause $0.05 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$.

References

- Chou, M.-D., 1986: Atmospheric solar heating in the water vapour bands. J. Appl. Meteor., 25, 1532–1542.
- Liou, K. N. and G. D. Wittman, 1979: Parameterization of the radiative properties of clouds. J. Atmos. Sci., 36, 1261–1273.
- Ramaswamy, V. and S. M. Freidenreich, 1992: A study of broadband parametrizations of the solar radiative interactions with water vapor and water drops. J. Geophys. Res., **97**(D11), 11487–11512.
- Sass, B. H., L. Rontu and P. Räisänen, 1994: HIRLAM-2 Radiation Scheme: Documentation and Tests. The HIRLAM 3 Project, c/o SMHI, S-60176 Norrköping, Sweden. Technical Report No. 16.
- Savijärvi, H., 1990: Fast radiation parameterization schemes for mesoscale and short-range forecast models. J. Appl. Meteor., 29, 437– 447.
- Slingo, A., 1989: A GCM parameterization for the shortwave radiative properties of water clouds. J. Atmos. Sci., 46, 1419–1427.
- Stephens, G. L., 1978: Radiation profiles in extended water clouds. I: Theory. J. Atmos. Sci., 35, 2111–2122.
- Wyser, K., L. Rontu and H. Savijärvi, 1999: Introducing the effective radius into a fast radiation scheme of a mesoscale model. Submitted to *Contr. Atm. Phys*