Feedbacks in Enviro-HIRLAM



Ulrik Smith Korsholm usn@dmi.dk Research Department Danish Meteorological Institute Definition: aerosol feedback

Interaction mechanism between aerosols and meteorological fields, when a change in an initial aerosol process affects meteorological fields, which in turn affects the aerosol process.

If the initial process intensifies the feedback is positive and if it is reduced the feedback is negative

There are many feedbacks in the atmosphere: which ones are important ?

Note: Even small changes in meteorological fields may be of importance in chemistry.



Examples of feedbacks: Photochemistry effect, daytime stability effect, indirect effect, semi-direct effect, smudge-pot effect, self-feedback effect all related to the direct aerosol effect *Jacobson, Science and History of Air Pollution*

Gi 27

DMi

Gas-radiation feedbacks, cloud feedbacks, direct effect, semidirect effect

DMI's main focus have been on aerosol-cloud interactions



Direct aerosol effect 2nd aerosol indirect effect 1st aerosol indirect effect Semi-direct aerosol effect

Semi-direct effect: Aerosols from biomass burning Absorbing in the short wave and heat the air -> evaporation of clouds

Columbia shuttle image (Brazil) Jan 12th 2003



Large uncertainties in quantification of these effects





RADIATIVE FORCING COMPONENTS

How does anthropogenic aerosols affect clouds?



Feedbacks in Enviro-HIRLAM How does anthropogenic aerosols affect clouds?

Overview of cloud and precipitation formation

Clouds form when air supersaturates in unstable/stable conditions: Convection, isobaric cooling, orographic lifting, ...

- -> vapor condense on natural and anthropogenic hygroscopic aerosols
- -> decrease in supersaturation
- -> growth by condensation untill r \sim 10 μm
- -> collision/coalescence takes over
- -> rain produced by collision/coalescence not condensation
- -> rain initiated by statistical effects: droplets fall through region of higher conc. and is then controlled by collision/coalescence

Orographic cloud formation



How does anthropogenic aerosols affect clouds ?

Clouds are formed by dynamics, which controlles supersaturation Aerosols do not form clouds, but they are a neccessary component of cloud droplet formation

Without aerosols it would be very difficult to form clouds

Aerosols affect cloud microphysical and optical properties





Accounting for slightly soluble substances and trace gases



How does anthropogenic aerosols affect clouds ?

- The activated fraction is a neccessary component of cloud formation
- From theory and observations an increase in aerosol concentration always lead to an increase in cloud droplet concentration

Cloud droplet effective radius: Ratio of second and third moments z° ³⁵⁰ of the droplet distribution, i.e droplet ¹⁰ volume to droplet surface area ratio ²⁵⁰ $r_{eff} = [3L_c/(4\pi\rho_w kN)]^{1/3}$ ¹⁰⁰

Keeping all else constant an increase $\frac{3}{2}$ ¹⁰⁰ In droplet number concentration will $\frac{3}{2}$ ⁵⁰ Lead to a decrease in effective radius ⁶



DMi

90

80 70 60

0

0

5 10 15 20 25 30

Aerosol concentration (cm⁻³)

How does anthropogenic aerosols affect clouds ?

35 40 45 50 55

Size bin

1D cloud model Lifting air parcel Detailed size resolved microphysics Aerosol growth, activation, condensation 55 size classes; 1 – 25 µm Steady updraught 1 m/s







Enviro-HIRLAM course, DMI, January 2009

Feingold, ISSAOS, 2008

How does anthropogenic aerosols affect clouds ?



ເຕີກ

DMi

The 1st aerosol indirect effect (Twomey, 1974)

Cloud albedo: $A_{cloud} = T/(T+7.7)$ for Droplet radii >> incomming wavelength (*Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998*)

If τ is the cloud optical thickness, cloud depth is h and extinction coefficient is b_{ext} : $\tau = b_{ext} h$ $b_{ext}(\lambda) = \pi/4 \int D^2 Q_{ext} n(D) dD$ Size distribution Extinction cross section

For droplets around 20 μ m Q_{ext} ~ 2 and for a mono disperse cloud: $\tau = 3LWP/(2r_{eff}\rho_w)$

The 1st aerosol indirect effect

For warm phase clouds an increase in anthropogenic aerosol load -> activation and increase in CCN concentration

- -> decrease in r_{eff}
- -> increase in A_{cloud} , assuming everything else stays constant

Can be observed directly in ship tracks

Ship tracks embedded in stratocumulus layer

- On the microphysical level this effect is well understood
- It has been observed in several campaigns
- The radiative impact is less understood



Ship tracks



The 1st aerosol indirect effect

Which clouds are most important

Low clouds provide strong shortwave forcing Strong contrast with underlying dark ocean Radiate at \sim same $T_{\rm o}$ as ocean therefore no longwave effect

DMi

High clouds (cirrus) provide longwave forcing by trapping outgoing longwave radiation

The 1st aerosol indirect effect

Implementation in Enviro-HIRLAM

Cloud radiative properties dependent bulk cloud properties Cloud radiation parameterization mainly dependent on r_{eff} $r_{eff}^{3} = 3L/(4\pi\rho_{wat}kN)$ (*Wyser et al. 1999*)

L : Cloud condensate content N: Number concentration of cloud droplets pwat : water density

```
Activated fraction:

\Delta N_{cont} = 10^{8.06} \text{ conc}^{0.48}

\Delta N_{sea} = 10^{2.24} \text{ conc}^{0.26}

(Boucher & Lohmann, 1995)
```

	k	N [m ⁻³]
Marine	0.81	10 ⁸
Cont.	0.69	4 10 ⁸



The 2nd aerosol indirect effect (*Albecht, 1989*) Aerosol significantly reduces the ability of warm clouds to generate precipitation (all else equal) (Gunn and Phillips 1957; Warner 1967)



G

DMi

Enviro-HIRLAM course, DMI, January 2009

Originally defined for stratocumulus clouds, although used In general: As r_{eff} decrease less droplets grow into the collision/coalesence regime and drizzle is surpressed

- -> increase in LWP
- -> increased cloud cover
- -> increased cloud lifetime

Also denoted the lifetime effect

Turns out that things are not that simple, even in stratocumulus clouds



Cloud microphysics-dynamics feedbacks complicates the simple picture

Using LES models it is found that for stratocumulus two regimes exist

Condensation: $\partial r/\partial t = S_w/r \rightarrow small droplets evaporate easier than large$





- There are no observations of aerosol effects on cloud lifetime!

- Modeling: No statistical signal for aerosol effect on cloud lifetime



Jiang, Xue, Teller, Feingold, Levin: GRL 2006



Implementation of the second aerosol indirect effect

Rasch - Kristjansson condensation scheme in STRACO Auto-conversion: $F(q_l, \rho_{air} / \rho_{wat})N^{1/3} H(r - r_c)$

- r : droplet volume radius; r = $r_{eff}^3 * \rho_{air}$
- $r_{\rm c}$: critical value below which no auto-conversion takes place; 5 μm
- ρ_{air} : air density
- q_I : in-cloud liquid water mixing ratio



• Case chosen to optimize the effect







Horizontal resolution: 0.05° x 0.05° Vertical resolution: 40 levels Model top: 10 hPa

MSG1 satellite image 2005-06-30, 12 UTC



Enviro-HIRLAM course, DMI, January 2009

Dron radius um



reference - perturbation NO_2 concentration (µg m⁻³)

Vertical NO₂ profile in point of maximum increase (49.2N;2.7E) during daytime 2005-06-29 +036; 12 UTC for the reference simulation (red) and the simulation including the indirect effects (green)

С. Т





G

Day-time (2005-06-29 +036; 12 UTC) NO₂ concentration (µg m⁻³) difference (reference-perturbation) with dynamical (right) and chemical (left) tendencies (µg m⁻³ s⁻¹) overlain.

Day-time (2005-06-29 +036; 12 UTC) low cloud cover (left) and T2m (right) difference (reference - perturbation) with T2m in C.



Dmi



6

DMi

Enviro-HIRLAM course, DMI, January 2009



()

Daytime (2005-06-29 +036; 12 UTC) difference (reference-perturbation) in 850 hPa winds (ms⁻¹) (left) and 710 hPa wind (ms⁻¹) (right).

In this particular case:

- Indirect effects induce large changes in NO₂
- Changes dynamics dominates changes in chemistry
- Residual circulation induced by temperature changes
- Redistribution both vertically and horizontally
- Also applies for night-time conditions

