Final discussion Moist Processes in Future High Resolution NWP Models

Lisa Bengtsson, SMHI

- Are we seeing similar problems in our models?
- Size of convective structures
- Intensity of precipitation
- Onset of precipitation
- Timing and location

. . . .

- Are there systematic errors in our models?
- Governed by domain size
- One way forward is to look at an idealized case of midlatitude open cell convection... to be continued.

- How should we use more computer power?
- Higher resolution?
- Ensemble forecast?
- Larger domain size?
- Longer forecast time (48 hours)?
- All of the above?
- Domain size very much governed by "shape of country" (also placement of boundaries).
- How large does our models need to be?

- Has the gray zone shifted towards smaller scales?
- There will always be processes that act on scales smaller than the horizontal resolution
- Perhaps stretched on both side of the spectra?

- How do we quantify the "skill" compared to large scale models?
- i.e talks by Federico Grazzini and Sami Niemelä

- When may an aerosol scheme be important in NWP?
- Direct effect: strong gradients in aerosol mass concentrations?
- Indirect effect: Stratiform clouds and rain processes?

- On the wish list of "Aerosol community" (represented by Annica Ekman :))
- Prognostic equation of

Black carbon, Sulfate, Organic compounds, sea salt, dust

- All represented by mass and number concentration ->10 new prognostic equations!
- + 2-moment scheme liquid and ice
- Complexity

- Peter Clark: Sure! If you assume that there is any predictability on the cloud scale
- Annica Ekman: There is! And cloud ice (cloud water) has similar uncertainty...

 Need to work closer together with aerosol community, get involved in cloud-aerosol discussions

• Worrying that an artificial parameter such as horizontal diffusion has such a strong influence.

- Not a cure for stability, but rather applied as a physical parameterization

Is it still useful to work with 1D model to develop new parameterization for microphysics, shallow convection if the dynamics, hor.diff and SLHD have more impact than physics at high resolution?

Or shall we develop parametrization with specific constraint from the type of the dynamics or the type of the model ? Interoperability ?

Predictability of meso scale convective systems? Initial conditions, difficult if forcing weak

Back to question of domain size...?

- Semi-Lagrangian, Semi-Implicit dynamics
- Lower boundary condition imposes a flux even though vertical velocity is 0.
- Diffusive

- Is it a problem that we treat convection as fully mature, without intermediate stage?
- What is the "non-mature" stage of convection? Shallow convection?

- Data assimilation
- Which technique to use?
- How to best use radar
- Simplified physics, will 4D-var keep up?
- Be careful touching the small waves

 How to eliminate spin-up? High resolution models interesting for nowcasting.

Evaluation

 Use of dataset simulators promising approach for efficient model evaluation based on satellite data comparisons.

- Are we making satellite/models agree for the wrong reason (assumptions made)

Verification

- Structure Amplitude Location
- Object based verification in order to address skill in high resolution NWP compared to large scale models.

- Objects sensitive to threshold... how does it compare to large scale models, can we see that there is any skill in comparison?

Application

Short range precipitation forecast

- At which level should the background wind be?

Any other issues, questions, concerns?