

Impact of deep convection scheme (and resolution) on deep convection

Hirlam

Sander Tijm Lisa Bengtsson

(Hirlam) Overview of presentation

- Why this study?
- Daily cycle of convection study
 - Description of case
 - Model and model domain
 - Impact of resolution on resolved deep convection
 - Impact of deep convection scheme
- Discussion (should and can we do an intercomparison?)

(Hirlam) Why this study?



- Problems in AROME (outflow, too strong deep convection)
- Study case in depth, search for possible causes of AROME problems
- ALARO shares same dynamic core, simpler microphysics, including deep convection scheme
- Reference for future studies (impact of changes)

(Hirlam) ALARO/AROME case study



- Case with daily cycle of deep convection over Netherlands, 30-04-2006
- Relatively weak advection, surface main forcing for deep convection
- Moderate deep convection, no large CAPE
- Case from HARMONIE database
- Use mainly ALARO (with and without 3MT) at different resolutions, comparison with AROME at 2 km
- Results are very fresh!









(Hirlam) Description of case • Radio sounding 12 UTC 30-04-'06 -15 -10 -5



(Hirlam) Radar accumulation



Radarsum NL 20060501 08-08 UTC



(Hirlam) ALARO/AROME case study



- 2, 1 and 0.5 km over 400x400 km domain
- Diagnostic domain 2.5 (EW)x2 (NS) degr
- Start 00 UTC, forecast to +18h
- Initial conditions and boundaries HIRLAM (6hourly, weak advection over boundaries, long interval no problem)
- Differing surface schemes in ALARO/AROME
- All in HARMONIE version 35h1
- Results different from Utrecht (correct diffusion)

(Hirlam) Impact deep convection scheme

- Runs at 2 km
- ALARO + 3MT, ALARO without deep convection scheme (NO3MT), AROME
- ALARO runs different physics interface
- ALARO runs different surface scheme
- Use of ALARO outside resolution range it was meant for
- Caution with interpretation of radar rain intensities, can be a factor of 2 wrong
- Some features not caught due to missing history















Radar NL 20060430 1000 UTC 3MT 2 ref 20060430 1000 UTC mm/h -----1000.000 53" '53° 100.000 70.000 40.000 Ð 20.000 10.000 7.000 52" 52 4.000 2.000 1.000 0.700 0.400 0.200 51" 51 0.100 0.070 0.040 0.020 0.010 0.005 G တ -1 'n СЛ တ œ 'n စ္ ₽ Ч.



Radar NL 20060430 1100 UTC 3MT 2 ref 20060430 1100 UTC mm/h -----1000.000 53" '53**'** 100.000 70.000 40.000 Ð 20.000 10.000 7.000 52" 52 4.000 2.000 1.000 0.700 0.400 0.200 51" 51 0.100 0.070 0.040 0.020 0.010 0.005 G o -1 'n G တ œ 'n စ္ -



















NO3MT_2_ref 20060430 1100 UTC mm/h 1000.000 53" '53**'** 100.000 70.000 40.000 Ð 20.000 10.000 7.000 52" 52 4.000 2.000 1.000 0.700 0.400 0.200 51" 51 0.100 0.070 0.040 0.020 0.010 0.005 G o -1 'n **ч** Э တ œ 'n စ္ 4

Radar NL 20060430 1100 UTC





























(Hirlam) Impact deep convection scheme



Precip distr 1100 UTC



(Hirlam) Impact deep conv. scheme



- Convection starts earlier and peaks earlier
- Convection less intense
- Precipitation area overestimated
- Precipitation amount similar to run without deep convection scheme
- Entrainment with history may help
- Where do local maxima (in time) in precipitation intensity come from?

(Hirlam) Intensity fluctuations



3MT_2_ref 20060430 1300 UTC



(Hirlam) Intensity fluctuations





(Hirlam) Summary and discussion



- Cold pool generation mechanism for secondary maxima?
- Onset of deep convection shared problem?
- Intercomparison of single or few cases way forward to learn from each other and to increase knowledge basis for attacking these problems (discussion this afternoon)
- Initial idea to have shallow to deep convection intercomparison (rain forest case)

(Hirlam) Example: convection dying inland



Radarsum NL 20051129 08-08 UTC





(Hirlam) Impact resolution









(Hirlam) Impact resolution



- Increasing resolution increases maximum precipitation, decrease from 1 -> 0.5 km. What happens at even higher resolution?
- Higher resolution shows fewer extremes, smaller and weaker but more numerous cells
- Scales are not going to observed open cell scales
- Impact on onset of deep convection relatively small
- Scales become smaller with decreasing resolution
- All resolutions show secondary maxima